

REMARKS

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The Office Action dated October 5, 2005, has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

Claims 1-6, 8-11, 13-17 and 19-32 are rejected and 7, 12 and 18 are objected to by the Examiner. Claims 10, 12, 15, and 18 have been amended to correct a typographical error. Claims 23-32 are canceled. Claims 1-22 remain pending in this application.

In the Office Action, claims 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,268,693 to Miwa et al. (hereinafter "Miwa"). Claims 1, 3-6, 8-11, 13-17, 19-28 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0239232 to Baek et al. (hereinafter "Baek"). Claims 1-3 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0000860 to Jung (hereinafter "Jung").

The rejection of claims 23-32 is now moot as these claims have been canceled.

Claims 1, 3-6, 8-11, 13-17, and 19-22 were rejected over Baek. However Baek is not prior art. Baek has a U.S. filing date of October 6, 2003. The present application was filed on November 5, 2003, but claims priority to Korean Patent Application Numbers 2002-72188 and 2002-73866 filed November 20, 2002 and November 26, 2002 respectively that are prior to the filing date of Baek. Verified translations of these applications will be provided shortly hereafter to perfect this claim of priority. Hence, Baek is not prior art against the present application, and accordingly claims 1, 3-6, 8-11, 13-17, and 19-22 are allowable.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Jung. Jung is assigned the LG.Philips Displays Korea Co., Ltd. as recorded at reel 014012, frame 0311. The present invention is also assigned to LG. Philips Displays Korea Co., Ltd. as recorded at reel 014667, frame 0432. Hence under 35 U.S.C. § 103(c), the present invention cannot be deemed unpatentable over Jung. Accordingly claims 1-3 are allowable.

Further, Applicants request that the Examiner review the Information Disclosure Statement Filed on October 17, 2005.

Applicants believe the foregoing amendments place the application in condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited.

If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911. *A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.*

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Eric J. Nuss
Registration No. 40,106

Dated: January 5, 2006

McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 496-7500
Attorneys for Applicant